COURT EXHIBIT

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NORTH AMERICAN SOCCER LEAGUE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

٧.

UNITED STATES SOCCER FEDERATION, INC. and MAJOR LEAGUE SOCCER, L.L.C.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:17-cv-05495-HG

**VERDICT FORM** 

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows on the questions submitted to us, and we return them as our verdict in this case:

# **PART I: DIVISION 1**

# **Question No. 1:**

Did North American Soccer League prove the existence of one or both of the following relevant antitrust markets:

(a) The market for Division 1 sanctions for men's professional soccer leagues located in the United States and Canada?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO X

(b) The market for team membership in a Division 1 men's professional soccer league located in the United States and Canada?

YES\_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

If "YES," to either subpart (a) or (b) please proceed to Question 2.

If "NO," to both subparts (a) and (b) please proceed to PART II: DIVISION 2 (page 7).

#### COUNTS AGAINST U.S. SOCCER FEDERATION AND MAJOR LEAGUE SOCCER

# **Count 1 (Conspiracy to Restrain Trade in Division 1)**

Οu	ıestioı	n No	. 2:

(a) Answer this question <u>only</u> if you answered yes to <u>Question 1(a)</u>:

Did North American Soccer League prove that U.S. Soccer Federation and Major League Soccer knowingly participated in a conspiracy to unreasonably restrain trade in the market for Division 1 sanctions for men's professional soccer leagues located in the United States and Canada?

YES	NO	1

(b) Answer this question <u>only</u> if you answered yes to <u>Question 1(b)</u>:

Did North American Soccer League prove that U.S. Soccer Federation and Major League Soccer knowingly participated in a conspiracy to unreasonably restrain trade in the market for team membership in a Division 1 men's professional soccer league located in the United States and Canada?

YES	NO	

If "YES," to either subpart (a) or (b) please proceed to Question 3.

If "NO," to both subparts (a) and (b) please proceed to PART II: DIVISION 2 (page 7).

### **Question No. 3:**

(a) Answer this question <u>only</u> if you answered yes to <u>Question 2(a)</u>:

Did North American Soccer League prove that it suffered injury to its business or property that was materially caused by the conspiracy by U.S. Soccer Federation and Major League Soccer to unreasonably restrain trade in the market for Division 1 sanctions for men's professional soccer leagues located in the United States and Canada?

YES	NO	

(b) Answer this question <u>only</u> if you answered yes to <u>Question 2(b)</u>:

Did North American Soccer League prove that it suffered injury to its business or property that was materially caused by the conspiracy by U.S. Soccer Federation and Major League Soccer to unreasonably restrain trade in the market for team membership in a Division 1 men's professional soccer league located in the United States and Canada?

YES	 NO	)

Please proceed to Question 4.

# **Count 2 (Conspiracy to Monopolize Division 1)**

# **Question No. 4:**

Please proceed to Question 6.

Did North American Soccer League prove that U.S. Soccer Federation and Major League Soccer entered into a conspiracy with the specific intent of monopolizing the relevant market for team membership in a Division 1 sanctioned men's professional soccer league in the United States and Canada?

YES	NO
If "YES," please proceed to Question	n 5.
If "NO," please proceed to Question	ı <b>6</b> .
Question No. 5:	
Did North American Soccer L property that was materially caused by	League prove that it suffered injury to its business or by this conspiracy?
YES	NO

# COUNTS AGAINST MAJOR LEAGUE SOCCER ONLY

### **Count 3 (Monopolization Division 1)**

#### Question No. 6:

Did North American Soccer League prove that Major League Soccer both possessed monopoly power in the relevant market for team membership in a Division 1 sanctioned men's professional soccer league in the United States and Canada, and willfully obtained or maintained such monopoly power by engaging in anticompetitive conduct?

YES NO
f "YES," please proceed to Question 7.
f "NO," please proceed to Question 8.
Question No. 7:
Did North American Soccer League prove that it suffered injury to its business or property that was materially caused by this anticompetitive conduct of Major League Soccer?
YES NO
Please proceed to Question 8.
Count 4 (Attempted Monopolization Division 1)
Question No. 8:
Did North American Soccer League prove that Major League Soccer attempted to

Did North American Soccer League prove that Major League Soccer attempted to monopolize the market for team membership in a Division 1 sanctioned men's professional soccer league located in the United States and Canada by engaging in anticompetitive conduct?

YES	NO	

If "YES," please proceed to Question 9.

If "NO," please proceed to Question 10.

Question N	о.	у:
------------	----	----

	League prove that it suffered injury to its business or by this anticompetitive conduct of Major League Soccer?
YES	NO

Please proceed to Question 10.

# **DAMAGES (DIVISION 1)**

If you answered "YES" to any of Questions 3(a), 3(b), 5, 7, or 9, please proceed to Question 10 to determine damages.

If you answered "NO," or did not provide answers to, Questions 3(a), 3(b), 5, 7, <u>and</u> 9, please proceed to PART II: DIVISION 2 on the following page.

### Question No. 10:

What amount of damages did North American Soccer League prove that it suffered as a result of the conduct you found violates antitrust law, specifically in connection with the denial of its Division 1 sanctioning application for the 2016 season?

\$

If you awarded any damages related to Division 1, please proceed to PART III (page 11).

If you did not award Division 1 damages, please proceed to PART II: Division 2 on the following page.

### **PART II: DIVISION 2**

### **Question No. 11:**

Did North American Soccer League prove the existence of one or both of the following relevant antitrust markets:

(a) The market for Division 2 sanctions for men's professional soccer leagues located in the United States and Canada?

YES\_\_\_\_\_ NO\_X\_\_\_

(b) The market for team membership in a Division 2 men's professional soccer league located in the United States and Canada?

YES NO ⊀

If "YES," to either subpart (a) or (b) please proceed to Question 12.

If "NO," to both subparts (a) and (b) please proceed to PART III (page 11).

### COUNTS AGAINST U.S. SOCCER FEDERATION AND MAJOR LEAGUE SOCCER

### Count 1 (Conspiracy to Restrain Trade in Division 2)

### **Question No. 12:**

(a) Answer this question <u>only</u> if you answered yes to <u>Question 11(a)</u>:

Did North American Soccer League prove that U.S. Soccer Federation and Major League Soccer knowingly participated in a conspiracy with non-defendant United Soccer League to unreasonably restrain trade in the market for Division 2 sanctions for men's professional soccer leagues located in the United States and Canada?

s No	0
S No	O

(b) Answer this question only if you answered yes to Question 11(b):

Did North American Soccer League prove that U.S. Soccer Federation and Major League Soccer knowingly participated in a conspiracy with non-defendant United Soccer League to unreasonably restrain trade in the market for team membership in a Division 2 men's professional soccer league located in the United States and Canada?

Y	ES	NO

If "YES," to either subpart (a) or (b) please proceed to Question 13.

If "NO," to both subparts (a) and (b) please proceed to PART III (page 11).

<b>Question No</b>
--------------------

(a	a) Answer	this question	only if yo	u answered	yes to	Question	12(a):

Did North American Soccer League prove that it suffered injury to its business or property that was materially caused by the conspiracy by U.S. Soccer Federation, Major League Soccer, and non-defendant United Soccer League to unreasonably restrain trade in the market for Division 2 sanctions for men's professional soccer leagues located in the United States and Canada?

(b) Answer this question <u>only</u> if you answered yes to <u>Question 12(b)</u>:

Did North American Soccer League prove that it suffered injury to its business or property that was materially caused by the conspiracy by U.S. Soccer Federation, Major League Soccer and non-defendant United Soccer League to unreasonably restrain trade in the market for team membership in a Division 2 men's professional soccer league located in the United States and Canada?

YES	NO
-----	----

Please proceed to Question 14.

#### **Count 2 (Conspiracy to Monopolize Division 2)**

### **Question No. 14:**

Did North American Soccer League prove that U.S. Soccer Federation, Major League Soccer, and non-defendant United Soccer League entered into a conspiracy with the specific intent of monopolizing the relevant market for team membership in a Division 2 sanctioned men's professional soccer league in the United States and Canada?

YES NO
--------

If "YES," please proceed to Question 15.

If "NO," please proceed to Question 16.

# **Question No. 15:**

Did N	Iorth American	Soccer League	prove that it	suffered in	njury to its	business or
property that	was materially	caused by this	conspiracy?			

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_

Please proceed to Question 16.

# **DAMAGES (DIVISION 2)**

If you answered "YES" to any of Questions 13(a), 13(b), or 15, please proceed to Question 16 to determine damages.

If you answered "NO," or did not provide answers to, Questions 13(a), 13(b), <u>and</u> 15, please proceed to PART III on the following page.

### Question No. 16:

What amount of damages did North American Soccer League prove that it suffered as a result of the conduct you found violates antitrust law, specifically in connection with the denial of its Division 2 sanctioning application for the 2018 season?

\$\_\_\_\_

Please proceed to PART III on the following page.

# PART III

Your deliberations are complete. Please sign the verdict form below.

Signed:

Jury Foreperson Fue 3, 2025

Date

â